

\*E-Filed 7/19/13\*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ANTHONY LI,  
Petitioner,

No. C 13-1224 RS (PR)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

v.

JEFFREY BEARD,  
Respondent.

**INTRODUCTION**

Petitioner seeks federal habeas relief from his state convictions. The petition is now before the Court for review pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2243 and Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. **Respondent shall file an answer or dispositive motion on or before October 18, 2013, unless an extension is granted.**

**BACKGROUND**

A San Francisco County Superior Court jury convicted petitioner of committing a lewd act on a child. According to the petition, he received a sentence of 6 years in state prison.

No. C 13-1224 RS (PR)  
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

## DISCUSSION

This Court may entertain a petition for writ of habeas corpus “in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States.” 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a). A district court considering an application for a writ of habeas corpus shall “award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto.” 28 U.S.C. § 2243. Summary dismissal is appropriate only where the allegations in the petition are vague or conclusory, palpably incredible, or patently frivolous or false. *See Hendricks v. Vasquez*, 908 F.2d 490, 491 (9th Cir. 1990).

As grounds for federal habeas relief, petitioner claims that the trial court violated his right to due process when it failed to give a specific unanimity jury instruction. When liberally construed, this claim appears to be cognizable on federal habeas review.

## CONCLUSION

1. The Clerk shall serve by certified mail a copy of this order, the petition and all attachments thereto, on respondent and respondent’s counsel, the Attorney General for the State of California. The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this order on petitioner.

2. Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on petitioner, within **ninety (90)** days of the date this order is filed, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted based on petitioner’s cognizable claims. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record that previously have been transcribed and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

3. If petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the Court and serving it on respondent’s counsel within **thirty (30)** days of the date the

1 answer is filed.

2 4. In lieu of an answer, respondent may file, within **ninety (90)** days of the date this  
3 order is filed, a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds, as set forth in the Advisory  
4 Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If respondent files  
5 such a motion, petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on respondent an opposition or  
6 statement of non-opposition within **thirty (30)** days of the date the motion is filed, and  
7 respondent shall file with the Court and serve on petitioner a reply within **fifteen (15)** days of  
8 the date any opposition is filed.

9 5. Petitioner is reminded that all communications with the Court must be served on  
10 respondent by mailing a true copy of the document to respondent's counsel.

11 6. It is petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner must keep the  
12 Court and respondent informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's  
13 orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for  
14 failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

15 7. Upon a showing of good cause, requests for a reasonable extension of time will be  
16 granted provided they are filed on or before the deadline they seek to extend.

17 8. Petitioner's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Docket Nos. 2 and 5) is  
18 GRANTED. The Clerk shall terminate Docket Nos. 2 and 5.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20 DATED: July 18, 2013

21   
22 RICHARD SEEBORG  
23 United States District Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28